

Appendix 1. Supplementary tables.

Table A1. List of recordings used in the experimental playback protocol extracted from Xeno-canto library.

Author	Year	Code	Web link
Andrew Spencer	2007	XC13772	www.xeno-canto.org/13772
	2007	XC13773	www.xeno-canto.org/13773
	2010	XC55177	www.xeno-canto.org/55177
	2010	XC51468	www.xeno-canto.org/51468
	2011	XC79614	www.xeno-canto.org/79614
Gary Harbour	2018	XC421000	www.xeno-canto.org/421000
Jonathon Jongsma	2011	XC78875	www.xeno-canto.org/78875
	2013	XC142582	www.xeno-canto.org/142582
Todd Wilson	2012	XC151971	www.xeno-canto.org/151971

Table A2. Vegetation species more common in Canada Warbler sites. Species are arranged in order of dominance.

Structure	Species	Common name
Canopy trees (>5m height)	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper Birch
	<i>Picea glauca</i>	White Spruce
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Trembling Aspen
	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir
Understory shrubs and regenerating trees (<5m height)	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	Mountain Maple
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	Beaked Hazel
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch
	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Balsam Fir
	<i>Cornus sericea</i>	Red-osier Dogwood
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	American Mountain Ash
	<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	Black Elder
	<i>Diervilla lonicera</i>	Bush Honeysuckle
	<i>Rhamnus alnifolia</i>	Alder Buckthorn

Table A3. Correlation matrix of numerical variables from playback experiment.

Variables	Shrub	Canopy cover	Canopy tree height
Shrub cover	1		
Canopy cover	-0.60	1	
Canopy tree height	-0.09	0.25	1

Table A4. Correlation matrix of numerical variables from occurrence observations

Variables	Shrub	Canopy cover	Canopy tree height
Shrub cover	1		
Canopy cover	-0.43	1	
Canopy tree height	-0.08	0.45	1

Table A5. Set of models of Canada Warbler (CAWA) occurrence, social aggregation and pre-breeding settlement during the bird surveys and playback experiment in the breeding season. Models are shown with their AIC values and Akaike weight (ω_i). Marked in bold are the best set of models as those having $\Delta AIC \leq 2$ (Burnham and Anderson 2002). $\Delta AIC = AIC_i - AIC_{\min}$ values. Shr=shrub cover, yr= year of study, cancov=canopy cover, can_h= canopy height, for_type= forest type (coniferous, deciduous, mixewood), landsc= landscape disturbance level (low, mid, high), sitedisturb= disturbance condition in surveyed site, (disturbed/undisturbed), timeharv=time since harvest (early, mid, late, unharvest), treat= treatment (speakers/control).

Model	AIC	ΔAIC	ω_i
A) CAWA occurrence			
Shr+yr+cancov+for_type	125.97	0.00	0.37
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+for_type	126.08	0.11	0.35
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+for_type+sitedisturb	127.65	1.68	0.16
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+for_type+landsc	128.97	3.00	0.08
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+for_type+timeharv	131.42	5.45	0.02
Shr+yr	141.02	15.05	0.00
Shr	146.87	20.90	0.00
Null	193.47	67.5	0.00
B) Social aggregation			
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+timeharv	73.28	0.00	0.54
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+forest_type+timeharv	74.77	1.49	0.26
Shr+yr+cancov+timeharv	75.59	2.31	0.17
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+forest_type+sitedisturb	80.06	7.32	0.01
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+forest_type	81.41	8.13	0.01
Shr+yr+cancov+can_h+forest_type+landsc	83.44	10.16	0.00
Shr+yr	87.43	14.15	0.00
Shr	92.96	19.68	0.00
Null	121.51	48.23	0.00

Model	AIC	ΔAIC	ω_i
C) CAWA pre-breeding settlement			
Treat+shr+sitedisturb	29.56	0.00	0.33
Treat+shr+cancov+sitedisturb	29.61	0.05	0.32
Treat+shr+cancov+timeharv	30.35	0.79	0.22
Treat+shr+cancov	33.57	4.01	0.04
Treat+shr+cancov+can_h	33.62	4.06	0.04
Treat+shr+cancov+can_h+for_type	33.89	4.33	0.04
Treat+shr+cancov+landsc	36.96	7.40	0.00
Shr+treat	39.43	9.87	0.00
Shr+treat+yr	41.34	11.78	0.00
Shr+can_h+for_type	45.95	16.39	0.00
Shr+can_h	46.13	16.57	0.00
Shr+cancov+can_h	46.14	16.58	0.00
Shr+cancov	46.42	16.86	0.00
Shr+can_h+for_type+landsc	49.03	19.47	0.00
Shr+can_h+for_type+timeharv	49.07	19.51	0.00
Shr	49.32	19.76	0.00
Treat	70.65	41.09	0.00
Null	77.25	47.69	0.00